## Bose-Einstein statistics

## Bose-Einstein distribution

Matter particles that are elementary mostly have a type of angular momentum called spin. These particles are known to have a magnetic moment which is attributed to spin. Particles that have integral values of spin $(0,1,2, \ldots)$ are called bosons. Photon, Weak bosons, Gluons, Gravitons, Higgs particle etc are all bosons. A system with two bosons, labelled $A$ and $B$, located respectively at $\mathbf{x}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2}$ have a wavefunction that is symmetric under the exchange of particles.

$$
\psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\psi^{A}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \psi^{B}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)+\psi^{B}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \psi^{A}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)\right)=\psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{1}\right)
$$

It is clear from the above that if we interchange the locations of the two bosons, the wavefunction remains the same. $\left|\psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)\right|^{2}$ does not change and thus the probability densities do not change under this interchange. The two bosons are said to be indistinguishable.
We will consider a box of $N$ bosons. Much like in the case of MB statistics, each boson is allowed to have one of the $m$ different values of energy $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{m}$. Let $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{m}$ be the number of ways of occupying each of these energy levels. If $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{m}$ be the number of particles in each of these energy levels the total energy $E$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& N=n_{1}+n_{2}+\ldots+n_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}  \tag{1}\\
& E=n_{1} E_{1}+n_{2} E_{2}+\ldots+n_{m} E_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} E_{i} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

We will now derive a formula for the occupation number - the number of particles $n_{i}$ in the $i^{\text {th }}$ energy level as a function of the energy $E_{i}$ of that level. With this objective we will count the number of ways $N$ bosons can be distributed in the manner described above. Starting with the first energy level $E_{1}$, the $n_{1}$ particles that occupy this level has $g_{1}$ ways to do it. These can be thought of as $g_{1}$ cells, all corresponding to energy $E_{1}$. Out of the $n_{1}$ bosons any number of could go into any one of the $g_{1}$ cells as shown in the figure below. Bosons are indicated by dots in the figure.


We will first find the number of ways $n_{1}$ bosons can be distributed into $g_{1}$ cells. From the figure above we can see that $g_{1}$ cells have been made by inserting $g_{1}-1$ walls (thicker lines in the figure) into a box. All possible distributions of the $n_{1}$ bosons into these $g_{1}$ cells is
obtained by taking all possible permutations of altogether $n_{1}+g_{1}-1$ objects consisting of $n_{1}$ dots and $g_{1}-1$ partitions. This can be done in $\left(n_{1}+g_{1}-1\right)$ ! number of ways. Out of this many arrangements of dots and walls, $n_{1}$ ! arrangements must involve just the permutations of the bosons alone. Since the bosons are indistinguishable, these $n_{1}$ ! arrangements should not have been counted as part of the number of ways. To remove this overcounting we divide out a factor of $n_{1}$ ! from the above to get

$$
\frac{\left(n_{1}+g_{1}-1\right)!}{n_{1}!}
$$

Further, the $\left(n_{1}+g_{1}-1\right)$ ! arrangements also include $\left(g_{1}-1\right)$ ! arrangements of the walls alone which are also indistinguishable as they all lead to the same $g_{1}$ cells. We remove this overcounting too by dividing out a factor of $\left(g_{1}-1\right)$ !

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(n_{1}+g_{1}-1\right)!}{n_{1}!\left(g_{1}-1\right)!} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqn (3) provides the number of ways to distribute $n_{1}$ bosons into $g_{1}$ cells. Needless to say the number of distinct ways to fill $n_{2}$ fermions in the $g_{2}$ cells corresponding to energy $E_{2}$ is

$$
\frac{\left(n_{2}+g_{2}-1\right)!}{n_{2}!\left(g_{2}-1\right)!}
$$

The number of distinct ways to fill $n_{1}$ fermions in $g_{1}$ cells, $n_{2}$ fermions in $g_{2}$ cells, $\ldots, n_{m}$ fermions in $g_{m}$ cells gives us the thermodynamic probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(E)=\frac{\left(n_{1}+g_{1}-1\right)!}{n_{1}!\left(g_{1}-1\right)!} \frac{\left(n_{2}+g_{2}-1\right)!}{n_{2}!\left(g_{2}-1\right)!} \cdots \frac{\left(n_{m}+g_{m}-1\right)!}{n_{m}!\left(g_{m}-1\right)!} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Logarithm of this
$\ln W(E)=\ln \frac{\left(n_{1}+g_{1}-1\right)!}{n_{1}!\left(g_{1}-1\right)!}+\ln \frac{\left(n_{2}+g_{2}-1\right)!}{n_{2}!\left(g_{2}-1\right)!}+\ldots+\ln \frac{\left(n_{m}+g_{m}-1\right)!}{n_{m}!\left(g_{m}-1\right)!}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln \frac{\left(n_{i}+g_{i}-1\right)!}{n_{i}!\left(g_{i}-1\right)!}$
For $g_{i} \gg 1, n_{i} \gg 1$, we can use the Stirling's approximation to express eqn(5) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln W(E)= & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left(n_{i}+g_{i}\right) \ln \left(n_{i}+g_{i}\right)-\left(n_{i}+g_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-n_{i} \ln n_{i}+n_{i}-g_{i} \ln g_{i}+g_{i}\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

We put this box in contact with a heat bath and let it reach equilibrium. If we observe this box after it reaches equilibrium, for considerable amount of time we see no change in energy, ie. $E$ remains constant ${ }^{1}$. This requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E=0 \Longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} E_{i} \Delta n_{i}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]At equilibrium, the amount of energy supplied or taken by the heat bath is not sufficient to affect energy values $E_{i}$ or allowed for the individual particles nor their degeneracies $g_{i}$. Therefore neither the $E_{i}$ nor $g_{i}$ varies at equilibrium.
Note that the total number of particles $N$ always remain the same despite the variation in the occupation numbers $n_{i}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta N=0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta n_{i}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further the thermodynamic probability $W(E)$ will be maximum at equilibrium, which requires $\Delta W(E)$ to vanish at the equilibrium value of energy. $\Delta \ln W(E)$ also vanishes at the same values of energy at which $\Delta W(E)$ vanishes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \ln W(E)=\frac{\Delta W(E)}{W(E)}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the form of $W(E)$ obtained in eqn(6), we can write the condition in eqn(8) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \ln W(E)= & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\Delta n_{i} \ln \left(n_{i}+g_{i}\right)+\left(n_{i}+g_{i}\right) \frac{\Delta n_{i}}{n_{i}+g_{i}}-\Delta n_{i} \ln n_{i}-n_{i} \frac{\Delta n_{i}}{n_{i}}\right]=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\ln \frac{n_{i}+g_{i}}{n_{i}}\right) \Delta n_{i}=0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

We must require the condition in eqn(10) to hold along with the conditions in eqn(7) and eqn(8). It is obvious that if we multiply an arbitrary constant $\gamma^{\prime}$ (that is independent of $n_{i}$ ) to the left hand side of eqn(10) it must still vanish.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\prime} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\ln \frac{n_{i}+g_{i}}{n_{i}}\right) \Delta n_{i}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, multiplying eqn(7) and eqn(8) with arbitrary constants $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta^{\prime} \sum_{i=1}^{m} E_{i} \Delta n_{i} & =0  \tag{12}\\
\alpha^{\prime} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta n_{i} & =0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly the sum of left hand sides of eqns $(11,12,13)$ must vanish too.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\gamma^{\prime} \ln \frac{n_{i}+g_{i}}{n_{i}}+\beta^{\prime} E_{i}+\alpha^{\prime}\right) \Delta n_{i}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the only way eqn(14) can be satisfied for arbitrary variations $\Delta n_{i}$ is if its coefficient vanishes for each $\Delta n_{i}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\prime} \ln \frac{n_{i}+g_{i}}{n_{i}}+\beta^{\prime} E_{i}+\alpha^{\prime}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing through out by $\gamma^{\prime}$ and defining new arbitrary constants $\alpha^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{\gamma^{\prime}}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\beta^{\prime}}{\gamma^{\prime}}$, we can rewrite eqn(15) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \frac{n_{i}+g_{i}}{n_{i}}+\beta^{\prime \prime} E_{i}+\alpha^{\prime \prime}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \ln \frac{n_{i}+g_{i}}{n_{i}}=-\beta^{\prime \prime} E_{i}-\alpha^{\prime \prime} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Exponentiating this eqn(16) and writing $n_{i}$ in terms of the rest of the quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i}=\frac{g_{i}}{e^{-\alpha^{\prime \prime}} e^{-\beta^{\prime \prime} E_{i}}-1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $e^{-\alpha^{\prime \prime}}$ is called fugacity ${ }^{2}$. In order to evaluate the arbitrary constant $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ we will supply a small amount of energy to this box of fermions at a fixed volume and find out by how much the entropy changes. The rate of change of entropy with energy at constant volume is the inverse of the temperature of the system in absolute scale (Kelvins).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T}=\left.\frac{\Delta S}{\Delta E}\right|_{V} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

When a small amount of energy $\Delta E$ is supplied it leads to a change in the number of fermions $n_{i}$ in different energy levels $\Delta E=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta n_{i} E_{i}$. The amount of energy supplied will be insufficient to change the nature of the energy levels $E_{i}$ of individual fermions or their degeneracies $g_{i}$ and hence these remain constant.

$$
\Delta E_{i}=0 ; \quad \Delta g_{i}=0
$$

The entropy of the system is found using eqn(6) to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=k_{B} \ln W(E) \simeq k_{B} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\left(n_{i}+g_{i}\right) \ln \left(n_{i}+g_{i}\right)-n_{i} \ln n_{i}-g_{i} \ln g_{i}\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have used Stirling's approximation to get the final expression in eqn(19).
The change in entropy due to an excess energy $\Delta E$ is obtained from eqn(19) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta S & =k_{B} \Delta \ln W(E) \\
& =k_{B} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\ln \frac{n_{i}+g_{i}}{n_{i}}\right) \Delta n_{i} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the expression for $\frac{g_{i}}{n_{i}}$ from eqn(16) into eqn(20)

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta S & =k_{B} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(-\alpha^{\prime \prime}-\beta^{\prime \prime} E_{i}\right) \Delta n_{i} \\
& =-k_{B} \alpha^{\prime \prime} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta n_{i}-k_{B} \beta^{\prime \prime} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta n_{i} E_{i}  \tag{21}\\
& =-\beta^{\prime \prime} k_{B} \Delta E \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]In eqn(21), the first sum is zero as the total number of particle remain unchanged (as in eqn(8) and the second sum gives us the quantity in eqn(22).

Using the result of eqn(22) in eqn(18) we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\Delta S}{\Delta E}=-\beta^{\prime \prime} k_{B}=\frac{1}{T} \\
\beta^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{1}{k_{B} T} \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

This fixes the arbitrary constant $\beta^{\prime \prime}$. Substituting this into eqn(17) we get the Bose-Einstein statistics or distribution for the occupation number as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i}=\frac{g_{i}}{e^{-\alpha^{\prime \prime}} e^{\frac{E_{i}}{k_{B} T}}-1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Blackbody radiation

Photons are bosons. Thus for a gas of photons inside a box of volume $V$ their occupation numbers must be given by BE statistics. This is situation with the radiation inside a cavity held at a fixed temperature $T$. Let there be a small opening in the cavity through which the radiation can come out of the cavity. If we measure the energy densities of the radiation of different frequencies it has a behaviour as shown in the figure below. This is the famous

black body radiation distribution. We will now derive an expression for this energy density. We can consider photon approximately as a particle. It is known that photon is massless ${ }^{3}$. The energy $\mathcal{E}$ of a photon with momentum vector $\mathbf{p}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}=p c \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ is the speed of light and $p=\sqrt{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}}$ is the magnitude of the momentum vector.

To apply the BE statistics given in eqn (24) for the case of photons, we first note that allowed value of energy for photons will be in the range $0 \leq \mathcal{E} \leq \infty$. This means, as in the

[^2]case of ideal gas, we must find find how many ways a photon could have an energy in the interval $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}+d \mathcal{E})$. Clearly this must correspond to a momentum interval $(p, p+d p)$. All the momentum vectors that satisfy this must lie inside a spherical shell of radius $p$ having thickness $d p$ as shown in figure below. The number of such momentum vectors will be


Volume of the spherical shell gives the number of wavevectors
with magnitude between ( $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}+\mathrm{dp}$ )
proportional to the volume of the shell which is $4 \pi p^{2} d p$. Multiplying this with the volume $V$ of the box we get the total number of position and momentum vectors corresponding to the energy interval $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}+d \mathcal{E})$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \pi V p^{2} d p \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are dealing with particles that can be understood only with laws of quantum mechanics, uncertainty principle holds true for them. According to quantum mechanics, a position coordinate $x$ and the corresponding momentum coordinate $p_{x}$ of a particle can never be determined more accurately than allowed by the uncertainty relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x \Delta p_{x} \geq \hbar \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that in eqn(33) a product of each coordinate, and its corresponding momentum will have a smallest size $\hbar$. The entire phase space can be thought to be filled up by boxes whose edges (or faces) have area $\hbar$. Volume of this smallest box in phase space will be $\hbar^{3}$. Now we can count the number of states in the phase space - it is the total volume divided by the volume of the smallest box $\hbar^{3}$. Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 \pi V p^{2} d p}{\hbar^{3}}=\frac{4 \pi V \mathcal{E}^{2} d \mathcal{E}}{\hbar^{3} c^{3}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where RHS above provides the same in terms of energy $\mathcal{E}$ (using of eqn (25)). Photon also has a polarization. There are two polarizations possible for each photon. Thus we need to multiply the above number with 2 to get the number of ways $g(\mathcal{E}) d \mathcal{E}$ a photon can have an energy in the above range as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{8 \pi V \mathcal{E}^{2} d \mathcal{E}}{\hbar^{3} c^{3}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the BE statistics given in eqn (24), we could replace $g_{i}$ with $g(\mathcal{E}) d \mathcal{E}$ we get the occupation number for the energy range $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}+d \mathcal{E})$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\mathcal{E}) d \mathcal{E}=\frac{g(\mathcal{E}) d \mathcal{E}}{e^{-\alpha^{\prime \prime}} e^{\frac{\mathcal{E}}{k_{B} T}}-1}=\frac{8 \pi V}{\hbar^{3} c^{3}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{2} d \mathcal{E}}{e^{-\alpha^{\prime \prime}} e^{\frac{\mathcal{E}}{k_{B} T}}-1} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Photons inside a box get absorbed by the wall and get re-emitted continuously. Thus the number of photons is never conserved. Therefore we set $\alpha^{\prime \prime}=0$ for the case of photon gas ${ }^{4}$. The blackbody radiation has an occupation number given by the distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\mathcal{E}) d \mathcal{E}=\frac{8 \pi V}{\hbar^{3} c^{3}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{2} d \mathcal{E}}{e^{\frac{\mathcal{E}}{k_{B} T}}-1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Energy density of the photons in this range of energy is obtained by multiplying the occupation number by energy $\mathcal{E}$ and dividing the result with volume $V$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{E} n(\mathcal{E})}{V} d \mathcal{E}=\frac{8 \pi}{\hbar^{3} c^{3}} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{3} d \mathcal{E}}{e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{k_{B} T}}-1} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Quantum mechanically, energy of a photon is proportional to its (angular) frequency, $\mathcal{E}=\hbar \omega$, where $h$ is the Planck's constant. Using this in the distribution in eqn (32) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{E} n(\mathcal{E})}{V} d \mathcal{E}=\frac{8 \pi \hbar}{c^{3}} \frac{\omega^{3} d \omega}{e^{\frac{\hbar \omega}{k_{B} T}}-1} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Even if we observe for a very long duration, we will see fluctuations of energy $\Delta E$ that are too small compared to the energy $E$. Thus the system can be, practically, considered to be at equilibrium at all times.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The factor $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ is proportional to the amount of work required to insert one more boson into the box, often called the chemical potential of the system.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ This is closely related to the property of electromagnetic fields that they have only transverse polarizations.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ In other words, it takes no extra work to insert one more photon into the box and the chemical potential is zero.

